PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



Translation

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2108/Dr.Tbr/	ation of Transmittal of International Sxamination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)								
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/EP2003/005278	20 May 2003 (20.05	.2003)	04 June 2002 (04.06.2002)						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C08J 7/04, C09D 7/12									
Applicant RÖHM GMBH & CO. KG									
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of5 sheets, including this cover sheet. 									
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets.									
This report contains indications re	lating to the following items:								
I Basis of the report	I Basis of the report								
II Priority									
III Non-establishmen	nt of opinion with regard to novel	lty, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability						
	nvention								
Reasoned stateme	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
VI Certain document	ts cited								
Contain defeats in	Contain defeats in the international application								
Contain chammati	Contain observations on the international application								
VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report							
28 August 2003 (28.0	08.2003)	02 F	ebruary 2004 (02.02.2004)						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		orized officer							
Facsimile No.	Tele	phone No.							

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/005278

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. Basis of the report								
1.	With r	egard to	to the elements of the international application:*					
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed						
	\boxtimes	the desc	scription:					
		pages		, as originally filed				
	•	pages	,	filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
	\boxtimes	the clai	aims:					
		pages		, as originally filed				
		pages		ement under Article 19				
		pages		filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
		the dra	rawings:					
		pages		, as originally filed				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
	☐ t	he seque	uence listing part of the description:					
		pages		, as originally filed				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
3.	the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language							
		international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.						
5	• Pani	This rebeyon	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have and the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** ont sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under A cort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain as	rticle 14 are referred to				
	and	70.1 <i>1</i>).						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/05278

v.	 Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-22	YES		
		Claims		NO NO		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-22	YES		
	•	Claims		NO NO		
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-22	YES		
		Claims		NO		

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: EP-A-1 022 318 (JSR CORP) 26 July 2000 (cited in the application)

1. Novelty (PCT Article 33(2)):

The subject matter of the present claims 1 to 22 is novel in comparison with the prior art as cited in the international search report. None of the documents listed in the international search report discloses a self-cleaning plastics body that can be produced by applying a siloxane coating to a plastics substrate and then curing the coating, increasing the surface energy of the cured coating to a value of at least 10 mN/m, followed by application of a second coating containing TiO₂ particles and then curing.

2. Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))

The subject matter of the present claims 1 to 22 also involves an inventive step, since D1, which is regarded as the closest prior art, taken either

alone or in combination with any other document cited in the international search report, contains nothing to indicate the claimed plastics body for the following reasons:

D1 discloses a method for increasing the dirt resistance of films by coating the films with an inner siloxane layer and an outer siloxane layer containing TiO_2 particles.

The plastics body claimed in the present claims differs from that known from D1 in that it can be produced by the aforementioned method steps, i.e. the surface energy of the first layer is increased to a value of at least 10 mN/m before the second siloxane layer is applied.

In comparison to the teaching from D1, the present application addresses the problem of devising a dirt-repelling or self-cleaning plastics body which in addition to having good dirt-repelling properties also has good scratch-resistant properties.

The applicant has shown in three examples that an increase in the surface energy of the first layer leads to an increase in the thickness of the second layer, thereby improving scratch-resistance. The problem of interest is thus solved by the application subject matter.

Since the applicant was able to prove by way of comparative examples that non-activation of the first coating resulted in considerably worse scratch-resistance, and also since the claimed plastics bodies are not suggested by any other

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/05278

document, the subject matter of the application can be considered to involve an inventive step.